IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CM No.3808 & 09-C of 2005 and
RSA NO.1416 of 2005
DATE OF DECISION: January 9, 2007

Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Ltd.

….Appellant.

VERSUS

Suntact Polvmers

….

Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

PRESENT: Shri Mukul Aggarwal, Advocate for the appellant.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

For the reasons stated in the application, the delay in filing and refiling the appeal is condoned.

The only argument raised by the learned counsel for the appellant is that the suit filed by the plaintiff was not even legally maintainable before the Civil Court in view of the law laid down by the Apex Court in PSEB v. Ashwani Kumar JT 1997 (5) SC 182. However, in view of the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in Ms. Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd. v. Punjab State Electricity Board 2004(1) RCR 816, the argument of the learned counsel is without any basis.

No other point has been urged.

Even otherwise, I find no question of law, much less any substantial question of law, arises in the present appeal.

Dismissed.

January 9, 2007
KD

(Viney Mittal)
Judge